entered on July 19, 2012, in which the Magistrate Judge denied Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (#123) of the Magistrate Judge's order (#121) granting Defendants' motion for enlargement of time. Plaintiff filed his "Review and Appeal of the United States Magistrate Judge: 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A); LR IB 3-1 (a)(b) Objecting to the Ruling of the Magistrate Judge" on August 6, 2012. The Court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record and has made a review and determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and Local Rule 1B 3-1(a) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

It appearing to the Court that the Magistrate's Order is neither erroneous nor contrary to law, and good cause appearing, the Court determines that the Magistrate's Order (#124) entered on July 19, 2012, is ADOPTED and ACCEPTED, and

Case 3:09-cv-00244-LRH-WGC Document 140 Filed 09/28/12 Page 2 of 2

1	IT IS ORDERED that Defendant's Review and Appeal of the United States Magistrate Judge:	
2	28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A); LR IB 3-1 (a)(b) Objecting to the Ruling of the Magistrate Judge (#127) is	
3	DENIED.	
4		
5	DATED this 28th day of September, 2012.	Elsihe
6		Owne
7		LARRY R. HICKS
8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	II	